## A foundation for trait-based metaprogramming

#### Aaron Turon

Department of Computer Science University of Chicago

Joint work with John Reppy

Why traits? What are traits? Trait operations

#### A problem for single inheritance:



#### What are traits?

A *trait* is a partial class implementation: a flat collection of *provided* methods.

- Methods invoked by a trait but not provided are *required* methods.
- Traits cannot introduce state they can only provide methods.

Introduced in [Schärli et al.; ECOOP'03].

#### Why traits? What are traits? Trait operations

### What are traits?

A *trait* is a partial class implementation: a flat collection of *provided* methods.

- Methods invoked by a trait but not provided are *required* methods.
- Traits cannot introduce state they can only provide methods.

Introduced in [Schärli et al.; ECOOP'03].

#### Key idea

Trait *composition* occurs outside the inheritance hierarchy. Composition is symmetric.

Why traits? What are traits? Trait operations

#### A trait-based solution:



Why traits? What are traits? Trait operations

#### Trait operations

Besides composition, traits support *aliasing* and *excluding* methods to resolve conflicts.

Why traits? What are traits? Trait operations

#### Trait operations

Besides composition, traits support *aliasing* and *excluding* methods to resolve conflicts.

#### Exclude and compose

TCPoint = TPoint + (TColored **exclude** toString)

Why traits? What are traits? Trait operations

#### Trait operations

Besides composition, traits support *aliasing* and *excluding* methods to resolve conflicts.

#### Exclude and compose

TCPoint = TPoint + (TColored **exclude** toString)

#### Alias, exclude and compose

```
TCPoint = {
    provides toString() : string {
        self.strP() + ": " + self.strC();
    }
} + ((TPoint alias toString as strP) exclude toString)
    + ((TColored alias toString as strC) exclude toString)
```

#### Deep operations

The **alias** and **exclude** operations are *shallow*: they do not affect the bodies of other methods in the trait.

 $\mathsf{Deep aliasing} \Longrightarrow \mathsf{renaming} \quad \mathsf{Deep exclusion} \Longrightarrow \mathsf{hiding}$ 

#### Deep operations

The **alias** and **exclude** operations are *shallow*: they do not affect the bodies of other methods in the trait.

 $\mathsf{Deep aliasing} \Longrightarrow \mathsf{renaming} \quad \mathsf{Deep exclusion} \Longrightarrow \mathsf{hiding}$ 

#### Hide and compose

TCPoint = TPoint + (TColored **hide** toString)

#### Deep operations

The **alias** and **exclude** operations are *shallow*: they do not affect the bodies of other methods in the trait.

 $\mathsf{Deep} \ \mathsf{aliasing} \Longrightarrow \mathsf{renaming} \quad \mathsf{Deep} \ \mathsf{exclusion} \Longrightarrow \mathsf{hiding}$ 

#### Hide and compose

TCPoint = TPoint + (TColored hide toString)

#### Rename and compose

TCPoint = {
 provides toString() : string {
 self.strP() + ": " + self.strC();
 }
 } + (TPoint rename toString to strP)
 + (TColored rename toString to strC)

Our research: develop a statically-typed trait calculus giving a semantics for method hiding and renaming.

- Built on the Fisher-Reppy polymorphic trait calculus [Fisher & Reppy 2003].
- Uses Riecke-Stone dictionaries [Riecke & Stone 2002] to provide a realistic model of the deep operations.
- Provides more accurate trait types (requirements are tracked *per-method*, rather than per-trait).

#### Syntactic forms for runtime trait values

 $\phi ::= \{r \mapsto i \ ^{r \in \mathcal{R}}\}$  $M\mathbf{v}$  ::=  $\{i \mapsto \mu \mathbf{v}_i \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  $\mu v ::= [E; \phi; \lambda x.e]$  method value  $tv ::= \langle Mv; \phi_P; \phi_R \rangle$  trait value

dictionary method suite value

where r ranges over trait method requirements (both self and super) and i ranges over slots.

*Note:* these are simplified versions of the forms in the paper.



TBar provides bar.

$$\begin{split} \phi_P &= \{ \mathsf{bar} \mapsto 1 \} \\ \phi_R &= \{ \} \\ \phi_1 &= \{ \mathsf{bar} \mapsto 1 \} \end{split}$$



TFoo provides foo, requires bar.

$$\begin{split} \phi_P &= \{\mathsf{foo} \mapsto 1\} \\ \phi_R &= \{\mathsf{bar} \mapsto 2\} \\ \phi_1 &= \{\mathsf{foo} \mapsto 1, \; \mathsf{bar} \mapsto 2\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} E &\vdash T_1 \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{I}_1 M v_1; \mathcal{M}_1 \phi_{P_1}; \mathcal{R}_1 \phi_{R_1} \rangle \\ E &\vdash T_2 \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{I}_2 M v_2; \mathcal{M}_2 \phi_{P_2}; \mathcal{R}_2 \phi_{R_2} \rangle \\ \mathcal{M}_1 &\pitchfork \mathcal{M}_2 \quad M v_2 = \{i \mapsto [E_i; \phi_i; e_i]^{i \in \mathcal{I}_2}\} \\ \varphi_P &= \{\phi_{P_2}(m) \mapsto \phi_{R_1}(m) \stackrel{m \in \mathcal{M}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_1}{H} \\ \varphi_R &= \{\phi_{R_2}(m) \mapsto \phi_{P_1}(m) \stackrel{m \in \mathcal{R}_2 \cap \mathcal{M}_1}{H} \} \\ \mathcal{I}_1' &= \mathcal{I}_1 \cup \operatorname{rng}(\phi_{R_1}) \quad \mathcal{I}_2' = \mathcal{I}_2 \cup \operatorname{rng}(\phi_{R_2}) \\ \varphi_F &= \operatorname{FS}(\mathcal{I}_2' \setminus \operatorname{dom}(\varphi_R \cup \varphi_P), \mathcal{I}_1') \\ \varphi &= \varphi_P \cup \varphi_R \cup \varphi_F \quad \phi_P = \phi_{P_1} \cup (\varphi \circ \phi_{P_2}) \\ \phi_R &= (\phi_{R_1} \cup (\varphi \circ \phi_{R_2})) \setminus (\mathcal{M}_1 \cup \mathcal{M}_2) \\ Mv &= Mv_1 \cup \{\varphi(i) \mapsto [E_i; \varphi \circ \phi_i; e_i]^{i \in \mathcal{I}_2}\} \\ \hline E \vdash T_1 + T_2 \longrightarrow \langle Mv; \phi_P; \phi_R \rangle \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & E \vdash T_1 \longrightarrow \langle \stackrel{\mathcal{I}_1}{} Mv_1; \stackrel{\mathcal{M}_1}{} \phi_{P_1}; \stackrel{\mathcal{R}_1}{} \phi_{R_1} \rangle \\ & E \vdash T_2 \longrightarrow \langle \stackrel{\mathcal{I}_2}{} Mv_2; \stackrel{\mathcal{M}_2}{} \phi_{P_2}; \stackrel{\mathcal{R}_2}{} \phi_{R_2} \rangle \\ & \mathcal{M}_1 \pitchfork \mathcal{M}_2 \quad Mv_2 = \{i \mapsto [E_i; \phi_i; e_i]^{-i \in \mathcal{I}_2}\} \\ & \varphi_P = \{\phi_{P_2}(m) \mapsto \phi_{R_1}(m)^{-m \in \mathcal{M}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_1}\} \\ & \varphi_R = \{\phi_{R_2}(m) \mapsto \phi_{P_1}(m)^{-m \in \mathcal{R}_2 \cap \mathcal{M}_1}\} \\ & \mathcal{I}_1' = \mathcal{I}_1 \cup \operatorname{rng}(\phi_{R_1}) \quad \mathcal{I}_2' = \mathcal{I}_2 \cup \operatorname{rng}(\phi_{R_2}) \\ & \varphi_F = \operatorname{FS}(\mathcal{I}_2' \setminus \operatorname{dom}(\varphi_R \cup \varphi_P), \mathcal{I}_1') \\ & \varphi = \varphi_P \cup \varphi_R \cup \varphi_F \quad \phi_P = \phi_{P_1} \cup (\varphi \circ \phi_{P_2}) \\ & \phi_R = (\phi_{R_1} \cup (\varphi \circ \phi_{R_2})) \setminus (\mathcal{M}_1 \cup \mathcal{M}_2) \\ & Mv = Mv_1 \cup \{\varphi(i) \mapsto [E_i; \varphi \circ \phi_i; e_i]^{-i \in \mathcal{I}_2}\} \\ \hline \\ & E \vdash T_1 + T_2 \longrightarrow \langle Mv; \phi_P; \phi_R \rangle \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} E \vdash T_1 \longrightarrow \left\langle \begin{array}{c} {}^{\mathcal{I}_1} M \mathbf{v}_1; \begin{array}{c} {}^{\mathcal{M}_1} \phi_{P_1}; \begin{array}{c} {}^{\mathcal{R}_1} \phi_{R_1} \end{array} \right\rangle \\ E \vdash T_2 \longrightarrow \left\langle \begin{array}{c} {}^{\mathcal{I}_2} M \mathbf{v}_2; \begin{array}{c} {}^{\mathcal{M}_2} \phi_{P_2}; \begin{array}{c} {}^{\mathcal{R}_2} \phi_{R_2} \end{array} \right\rangle \\ \mathcal{M}_1 \pitchfork \mathcal{M}_2 \quad M \mathbf{v}_2 = \left\{ i \mapsto [E_i; \phi_i; e_i] \right.^{i \in \mathcal{I}_2} \right\} \\ \varphi_P = \left\{ \phi_{P_2}(m) \mapsto \phi_{R_1}(m) \right.^{m \in \mathcal{M}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_1} \right\} \\ \varphi_R = \left\{ \phi_{R_2}(m) \mapsto \phi_{P_1}(m) \right.^{m \in \mathcal{R}_2 \cap \mathcal{M}_1} \right\} \\ \mathcal{I}_1' = \mathcal{I}_1 \cup \operatorname{rng}(\phi_{R_1}) \quad \mathcal{I}_2' = \mathcal{I}_2 \cup \operatorname{rng}(\phi_{R_2}) \\ \varphi_F = \operatorname{FS}(\mathcal{I}_2' \setminus \operatorname{dom}(\varphi_R \cup \varphi_P), \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{I}_1' \\ \varphi = \varphi_P \cup \varphi_R \cup \varphi_F \quad \phi_P = \phi_{P_1} \cup (\varphi \circ \phi_{P_2}) \\ \phi_R = (\phi_{R_1} \cup (\varphi \circ \phi_{R_2})) \setminus (\mathcal{M}_1 \cup \mathcal{M}_2) \\ M v = M v_1 \cup \left\{ \varphi(i) \mapsto [E_i; \begin{array}{c} \varphi \circ \phi_i; \begin{array}{c} e_i \\ e_i \end{bmatrix} \right.^{i \in \mathcal{I}_2} \right\} \\ E \vdash T_1 + T_2 \longrightarrow \left\langle \begin{array}{c} M v; \end{array} \right. \phi_P; \left.\phi_R \right\rangle \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} E \vdash T_1 &\longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{I}_1 M v_1; \mathcal{M}_1 \phi_{P_1}; \mathcal{R}_1 \phi_{R_1} \rangle \\ E \vdash T_2 &\longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{I}_2 M v_2; \mathcal{M}_2 \phi_{P_2}; \mathcal{R}_2 \phi_{R_2} \rangle \\ \mathcal{M}_1 \pitchfork \mathcal{M}_2 \quad M v_2 = \{i \mapsto [E_i; \phi_i; e_i]^{i \in \mathcal{I}_2}\} \\ \varphi_P = \{\phi_{P_2}(m) \mapsto \phi_{R_1}(m)^{m \in \mathcal{M}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_1}\} \\ \varphi_R = \{\phi_{R_2}(m) \mapsto \phi_{P_1}(m)^{m \in \mathcal{R}_2 \cap \mathcal{M}_1}\} \\ \mathcal{I}'_1 = \mathcal{I}_1 \cup \operatorname{rng}(\phi_{R_1}) \quad \mathcal{I}'_2 = \mathcal{I}_2 \cup \operatorname{rng}(\phi_{R_2}) \\ \varphi_F = \operatorname{FS}(\mathcal{I}'_2 \setminus \operatorname{dom}(\varphi_R \cup \varphi_P), \mathcal{I}'_1) \\ \boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{\varphi}_P \cup \boldsymbol{\varphi}_R \cup \boldsymbol{\varphi}_F \quad \phi_P = \phi_{P_1} \cup (\varphi \circ \phi_{P_2}) \\ \phi_R = (\phi_{R_1} \cup (\varphi \circ \phi_{R_2})) \setminus (\mathcal{M}_1 \cup \mathcal{M}_2) \\ Mv = Mv_1 \cup \{\varphi(i) \mapsto [E_i; \varphi \circ \phi_i; e_i]^{-i \in \mathcal{I}_2}\} \\ \overline{E} \vdash T_1 + T_2 \longrightarrow \langle Mv; \phi_P; \phi_R \rangle \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} E \vdash T_1 \longrightarrow \left\langle \begin{array}{c} {}^{\mathcal{I}_1} M v_1; \begin{array}{c} {}^{\mathcal{M}_1} \phi_{P_1}; \begin{array}{c} {}^{\mathcal{R}_1} \phi_{R_1} \end{array} \right\rangle \\ E \vdash T_2 \longrightarrow \left\langle \begin{array}{c} {}^{\mathcal{I}_2} M v_2; \begin{array}{c} {}^{\mathcal{M}_2} \phi_{P_2}; \begin{array}{c} {}^{\mathcal{R}_2} \phi_{R_2} \end{array} \right\rangle \\ \mathcal{M}_1 \pitchfork \mathcal{M}_2 \quad M v_2 = \left\{ i \mapsto [E_i; \phi_i; e_i] \right.^{i \in \mathcal{I}_2} \right\} \\ \varphi_P = \left\{ \phi_{P_2}(m) \mapsto \phi_{R_1}(m) \right.^{m \in \mathcal{M}_2 \cap \mathcal{R}_1} \right\} \\ \varphi_R = \left\{ \phi_{R_2}(m) \mapsto \phi_{P_1}(m) \right.^{m \in \mathcal{R}_2 \cap \mathcal{M}_1} \right\} \\ \mathcal{I}_1' = \mathcal{I}_1 \cup \operatorname{rng}(\phi_{R_1}) \quad \mathcal{I}_2' = \mathcal{I}_2 \cup \operatorname{rng}(\phi_{R_2}) \\ \varphi_F = \operatorname{FS}(\mathcal{I}_2' \setminus \operatorname{dom}(\varphi_R \cup \varphi_P), \mathcal{I}_1') \\ \varphi = \varphi_P \cup \varphi_R \cup \varphi_F \quad \phi_P = \phi_{P_1} \cup (\varphi \circ \phi_{P_2}) \\ \phi_R = (\phi_{R_1} \cup (\varphi \circ \phi_{R_2})) \setminus (\mathcal{M}_1 \cup \mathcal{M}_2) \\ M v = M v_1 \cup \left\{ \varphi(i) \mapsto [E_i; \begin{array}{c} \varphi \circ \phi_i; e_i \end{array} \right\} \\ E \vdash T_1 + T_2 \longrightarrow \left\langle \right. M v; \begin{array}{c} \phi_P; \phi_R \end{array} \right\rangle \end{array}$$

Hiding and renaming Semantics



 $\mathsf{TFooBar} = \mathsf{TFoo} + \mathsf{TBar}$ 

 $\varphi = \{1 \mapsto 2\}$ 

$$\begin{split} \phi_P &= \{ \text{foo} \mapsto 1, \text{ bar} \mapsto 2 \} \\ \phi_R &= \{ \} \\ \phi_1 &= \{ \text{foo} \mapsto 1, \text{ bar} \mapsto 2 \} \\ \phi_2 &= \{ \text{bar} \mapsto 2 \} \end{split}$$

$$\frac{E \vdash T \longrightarrow \langle | {}^{\mathcal{I}} M v; {}^{\mathcal{M}} \phi_{P}; {}^{\mathcal{R}} \phi_{R} \rangle}{r \in \mathcal{M} \quad r' \notin \mathcal{M} \quad r' \notin \mathcal{R}} \\
\frac{\phi'_{P} = (\phi_{P} \setminus r)[r' \mapsto \phi_{P}(r)]}{E \vdash T \text{ rename } r \text{ to } r' \longrightarrow \langle | M v; \phi'_{P}; \phi_{R} \rangle}$$

Hiding and renaming Semantics



$$\label{eq:transformation} \begin{split} \mathsf{TFooBaz} &= \\ \mathsf{TFooBar} \ \textbf{rename} \ \mathsf{bar} \ \textbf{to} \ \mathsf{baz} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \phi_{P} &= \{ \mathsf{foo} \mapsto 1, \ \mathsf{baz} \mapsto 2 \} \\ \phi_{R} &= \{ \} \\ \phi_{1} &= \{ \mathsf{foo} \mapsto 1, \ \mathsf{bar} \mapsto 2 \} \\ \phi_{2} &= \{ \mathsf{bar} \mapsto 2 \} \end{split}$$

# $E \vdash T \longrightarrow \langle | {}^{\mathcal{I}}Mv; {}^{\mathcal{M}}\phi_{P}; {}^{\mathcal{R}}\phi_{R} \rangle \quad m \in \mathcal{M}$ $E \vdash T \text{ hide } m \longrightarrow \langle | Mv; \phi_{P} \setminus m; \phi_{R} \rangle$



TFoo' = TFooBaz hide baz

$$\begin{split} \phi_{P} &= \{ \mathsf{foo} \mapsto 1 \} \\ \phi_{R} &= \{ \} \\ \phi_{1} &= \{ \mathsf{foo} \mapsto 1, \ \mathsf{bar} \mapsto 2 \\ \phi_{2} &= \{ \mathsf{bar} \mapsto 2 \} \end{split}$$

#### Recall the trait-based solution:



#### The TSync example

```
trait TSync<ty1, ty2> = {
    provides Op(x : ty1) : ty2 {
        self.lock.Acquire();
        super.Op(x) before
        self.lock.Release();
    }
    requires field lock : LockObj
}
```

#### This time with renaming:





#### Trait-based metaprogramming

Our calculus provides a *foundation* for "trait-based metaprogramming" by formalizing a new notion of substitution:

TSync rename Op to Read

#### Trait-based metaprogramming

Our calculus provides a *foundation* for "trait-based metaprogramming" by formalizing a new notion of substitution:

#### Trait-based metaprogramming

Our calculus provides a *foundation* for "trait-based metaprogramming" by formalizing a new notion of substitution:

TSync = 
$$\lambda Op.trait \{ provides Op \cdots \}$$

TSync Read = TSync **rename** Op **to** Read

Method name abstraction is only a first step!

**Research challenge**: design a concrete metalanguage using this notion of substitution.

- Java's synchronized keyword instantiate TSync?
- Pointcuts and other AOP techniques?
- Type-directed application of abstracted traits?

#### Summary

*Hiding* and *renaming* are the deep analogs to excluding and aliasing.

- Useful for conflict resolution.
- Useful in isolation (for privacy and fixing "wrong" names).
- Renaming yields a new notion of substitution.

We model these features with a statically typed trait calculus and prove type soundness (see the tech report for proof details).

Our calculus provides a rigorous notion of substitution that can be used to build a trait metalanguage.

#### Some related work

- The Programming Language Jigsaw: Mixins, Modularity and Multiple Inheritance. Bracha, G. Dissertation.
- Featherweight-trait Java: A trait-based extension for FJ. Liquori, L. and A. Spiwack. Tech report.
- Chai: Traits for Java-like languages. Smith, C. and S. Drossopoulou. ECOOP'05.
- Aspect-oriented programming.

Thank you.